Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/588,396	HAYASHI, NORIYA	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Hannah Pak	1796	

	Hannah Pak	1796		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>05/11/2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affida al (with appeal fee) in compliand	ivit, or other evidence, v e with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ter than SIX MONTHS from the mail b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T).	ing date of the final rejection HE FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO	
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amou nortened statutory period for reply or	nt of the fee. The appropri- iginally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the		
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the content of	sideration and/or search (see Nov);	OTE below);		
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally r	ejected claims.		
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [•	_	
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ided below or appended.	viii be entered and an e	xpiariation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14 and 16-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	/ercome <u>all</u> rejections under app and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fail See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).	
10.		•		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the attachment.				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:				
/Vasu Jagannathan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796	/Hannah Pak/ Examiner, Art Unit 179	06		